POST-OPERATIVE STABILITY VARIES WITH DIFFERENT LATERAL EXTRA-ARTICULAR TENODESIS TECHNIQUES IN PRIMARY ANTERIOR CRUCIATE LIGAMENT RECONSTRUCTION: A SYSTEMATIC REVIEW
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ABSTRACT – Objective: This study aimed to systematically review and compare the post-operative stability of lateral extra-articular tenodesis (LET) techniques based on fixation type in the setting of primary anterior cruciate ligament (ACL) reconstructions.

Materials and Methods: Scopus, PubMed, and EMBASE databases were queried from database inception through August 2022, using 2020 PRISMA guidelines. Level I to IV human clinical studies that evaluated patient-reported outcomes and post-operative stability following primary ACL reconstruction with a LET were included. Patients were divided into three groups based on the LET fixation technique: proximal bony fixation, distal bony fixation, and soft-tissue fixation. A methodological quality assessment of the included studies was performed using the Newcastle-Ottawa Scale and the National Institute of Health Quality Assessment.

Results: Twenty-nine studies (30 cohorts), consisting of 2,545 patients (mean age range: 11.2-48 years) were identified. Mean follow-up ranged from 12 to 294 months. Forty percent (n = 1,019) of patients underwent soft-tissue fixation, while 37.1% (n = 945) underwent proximal bony and 22.8% (n = 581) distal bony LET fixation, in combination with ACL reconstruction. A residual pivot-shift finding was more commonly reported following distal bony fixation relative to the proximal bony (p = 0.037) and soft-tissue (p = 0.0002) fixation, whereas no significant difference was observed between the proximal bony vs. soft-tissue fixation (p = 0.081). The residual objective anterior translation was greater following bony distal fixation when compared to proximal bony (p = 0.036) and
INTRODUCTION

Anterior cruciate ligament (ACL) surgery represents one of the most common orthopedic procedures globally, with an estimated incidence of over 200,000 ACL injuries occurring annually in the United States alone. The ever-growing body of research on the anatomy and on optimizing technical execution during ACL reconstruction has led to increasingly positive patient-reported outcomes and graft failure rates under 5%. However, in high-risk patients, mainly males under 18 years of age, failure rates following isolated ACL reconstruction have been reported to reach upwards of 28%. Additional risk factors for failure include high-grade rotatory instability (based on pivot shift), meniscal insufficiency, participation in high-level contact or pivoting sports, genu recurvatum, increased posterior tibial slope, and the need for revision ACL reconstruction.

To minimize the risk of graft failure following ACL reconstruction, especially in high-risk patients, the performance of a lateral extra-articular tenodesis (LET) as an augmentation during both primary and revision ACL reconstruction has been increasingly recognized. If on one side biomechanical studies have demonstrated improved rotational and anterior-posterior stability, as well as a reduction in intra-articular graft strain following LET relative to isolated ACL reconstruction, on the other side there is evidence that utilization of LET in conjunction with ACL reconstruction may disturb lateral compartment contact mechanics and contribute to joint degeneration. Clinically, the addition of a LET has been reported to decrease graft failure rates, while improving return to sport rates. A recent survey of members of the ACL Study Group reported that 83% of survey respondents believed there is an appropriate role for the use of a LET during primary ACL reconstructions.

Various LET techniques and fixation methods have been reported to re-establish normal knee kinematics. While bony fixation on the femur utilizing the modified-Lemaire technique remains the most frequently studied technique, other techniques, with bony fixation on the tibia such as the modified-Ellison, or all soft-tissue fixation alone, such as the Coker-Arnold technique, have been analyzed. However, no consensus remains on the superiority of a particular approach based on postoperative outcomes. The purpose of this study was to systematically review and compare postoperative outcomes and stability following isolated ACL reconstruction with LET based on LET fixation type. The authors hypothesized there would be no significant differences in postoperative outcomes or reported rates of instability between LET fixation locations.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Search Strategy and Eligibility

Using the 2020 Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis (PRISMA) guidelines, a systematic review was performed. The literature search was conducted on August 24th, 2022, by two independent authors (initials blinded for peer review) using Scopus, PubMed, and EMBASE databases for Level I to IV human clinical studies reporting patient-reported outcomes, clinical stability (Lachman and pivot-shift tests), and objective laxity in patients following primary ACL reconstruction with LET. The following search strategy was used: ((((((lateral extra-articular tenodesis) OR (LET)) OR (lateral extra-articular procedure) OR (lateral extra-arthroplasty) OR (lateral augmentation procedures)) OR (lateral extra-articular plasty) OR (lateral extra sling)) AND (((anterior cruciate ligament) OR (ACL)) AND (reconstruction))). Inclusion criteria comprised articles in English or with English-language translation reporting patient-reported outcomes and clinical results following ACL reconstruction with LET. Articles found were screened for relevance to the study question and included in the analysis.
were excluded if they failed to report outcomes following ACL reconstruction with LET, patients undergoing isolated ACL reconstruction, ACL repair, studies comparing different techniques in which isolated LET subgroups were not reported independently of isolated ACL reconstruction groups, as well as case reports, biomechanical studies, animal studies, review articles, and technique articles.

Two independent authors (initials blinded for peer review) screened article titles, abstracts, and full text, initially consisting of 424 articles, of which 360 were excluded. 64 full-text articles were evaluated for eligibility (Figure 1). Following the full-text screening, 29 papers met the inclusion/exclusion criteria.

**Figure 1.** Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis (PRISMA) flow diagram.

**Data Extraction**

The included studies were grouped based on surgical technique into one of three categories: (1) proximal bony fixation (Figure 2), (2) distal bony fixation (Figure 3), or (3) soft-tissue fixation (Figure 4). Data were collected using Microsoft Excel version 16.63 (Redmond, WA, USA). Collected variables included: article title, publication year, level of evidence (per Wright et al[32]), patient demographics (age, sex), fixation technique (proximal bony, distal bony, soft tissue), patient-reported outcomes scores, return-to-sport (RTS) rate, and objective stability findings (Lachman, pivot-shift, and side-to-side difference
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The proportions of patients across the total pooled sample with significant residual objective SSD in anterior tibial translation (> 3 mm), residual Lachman (≥ 2+), and residual pivot-shift (≥ 1+) were documented. Final follow-up time points were utilized during extraction and analysis.

Statistical Analysis

The primary outcome measures were those relevant to clinical stability, namely residual Lachman, residual pivot-shift, and objective side-to-side difference (SSD) in anterior tibial translation. Weighted proportions of unacceptable instability were calculated (binary random-effects model with DerSimonian Laird method) and outlined in forest plots (OpenMetaAnalyst, metafor R console package, Brown University, Providence, RI, USA) for visual depiction of the overall sample and subgroups based on fixation technique. To detect differences among the three subgroups, a Chi-square test was used; whenever a significant difference ($p < 0.05$) was observed, post-hoc Chi-square tests were used to determine exact group differences. Secondary outcomes included patient-reported outcomes and RTS rates, which were qualitatively compared.

**Figure 2.** Illustration of the Andrews modified proximal bony lateral extra-articulate tenodesis fixation technique utilizing a staple (FCL, fibular collateral ligament; PFL, popliteofibular ligament). (SSD) in anterior tibial translation) on physical examination.
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Risk of Bias

In order to assess the risk of bias, two independent authors (initials blinded for peer-review) performed methodological quality assessments using the Newcastle-Ottawa Scale (NOS) for studies of level I-III evidence and the National Institute of Health (NIH) Quality Assessment for level IV evidence studies (Supplementary Table 1 and Supplementary Table 2).

RESULTS

Twenty-nine studies (n=30 cohorts) with a pooled sample of 2,545 patients were identified. Three studies23,33,34 were of level evidence I (RCTs), one level II, 7 level III, and 18 were level IV (Supplementary Table 3). A total of 40% (n=1,019) underwent soft-tissue fixation, while 37.1% (n=945) were treated with proximal bony fixation and 22.8% (n=581) with distal bony fixation. Mean patient age ranged from 11.2 to 48 years, and mean follow-up time ranged from 12 to 294 months. Surgical technique aspects of each study, including graft type and width, along with fixation technique, are summarized in Supplementary Table 3.

Figure 3. Illustration of the Ellison distal bony lateral extra-articular tenodesis fixation technique14 utilizing a staple (FCL, fibular collateral ligament; ACL, anterior cruciate ligament; PCL, posterior cruciate ligament).
Residual Instability

The residual Lachman was significantly different between LET fixation groups ($\chi^2 = 10.69; p = 0.004$), with the reported Lachman positive in 17.5% (n=13/74 patients) of patients with distal bony fixation, in 5.5% (n=13/236 patients) following proximal bony fixation, and in 6.1% (n=22/195 patients) following soft-tissue fixation. Patients undergoing proximal bony fixation exhibited a significantly decreased rate of residual Lachman relative to distal ($\chi^2 = 10.66; p = 0.001$) and soft-tissue ($\chi^2 = 4.77; p = 0.028$) fixation groups, with no differences between distal bony and soft-tissue fixation ($\chi^2 = 1.87; p = 0.171$) (Figure 5).

A residual pivot-shift was significantly different between groups ($\chi^2 = 13.42; p = 0.001$), as identified in 16.5% (n=76/459 patients) of patients following proximal bony fixation, 22.9% (n=57/248 patients) following bony distal fixation, and 12.6% (n=68/537 patients) undergoing soft-tissue fixation. Subgroup differences revealed that patients undergoing distal bony fixation exhibited a significantly higher rate of residual pivot-shift laxity relative to the proximal bony ($\chi^2 = 4.35; p = 0.037$) and soft-tissue ($\chi^2 = 13.49; p = 0.0002$) fixation, with no differences between the proximal bony and soft-tissue fixation ($\chi^2 = 3.03; p = 0.081$) (Figure 6).
Residual objective SSD in anterior tibial translation was significantly different between groups ($\chi^2 = 10.15; p = 0.006$), as identified in 22.5% (n=46/204 patients) of patients treated with proximal bony fixation, 33.3% (n=38/114 patients) were treated with distal bony fixation, and 16.3% (n=22/135 patients) with soft-tissue fixation. Subgroup differences revealed that patients undergoing distal bony fixation exhibited a significantly higher rate of residual SSD translation relative to the proximal bony ($\chi^2 = 4.37; p = 0.036$) and soft-tissue ($\chi^2 = 9.80; p = 0.001$) fixation, while no differences were observed between proximal bony and soft-tissue fixation ($\chi^2 = 1.98; p = 0.159$) (Figure 7).
Patient-Reported Outcome Scores

Postoperative Lysholm scores were reported in 19 studies\(^7,10,25,28,34-48\) (n=20 cohorts) with a mean range from 87.8 to 99 in the proximal bony fixation group, 85.7 to 96.8 in the distal bony fixation group, and 84.2 to 96.8 in the soft-tissue fixation group. Postoperative International Knee Documentation Committee (IKDC) scores were reported in 17 studies\(^7,10,26,28,33-36,38,42-45,49-52\), with a mean range from 78.4 to 93.3 following proximal bony fixation, 74.7-95 following distal bony fixation, and 78-96 following soft-tissue fixation (Supplementary Table 4).

Return to Sport

The mean RTS rate at the same level or higher level of play before injury was reported in 19 studies\(^25,26,33,35,37-43,45-47,50,52-55\). RTS ranged from 55% to 91% following proximal bony fixation, 52.4-100% following distal bony fixation, and 66-100% in the soft-tissue fixation group.

DISCUSSION

The main findings of this systematic review were that in 29 studies, including 2,545 patients, soft tissue fixation was performed in 40% of patients, proximal bony fixation in 37.1%, and distal bony fixation in 22.8%. A positive post-operative Lachman test was less common in patients undergoing proximal bony fixation, while residual pivot-shift instability and SSD in anterior translation were more commonly reported following distal bony fixation. This information is valuable to surgeons planning LET fixation in the primary ACL reconstruction setting.

Multiple investigations have corroborated the improvement in rotatory stability and reduced risk of graft rupture when primary ACL reconstruction is combined with LET, especially in high-risk patients. A meta-analysis of seven RCTs conducted by Onggo et al\(^23\) reported improved stability, better clinical outcomes, and a 3x less likely graft re-rupture rate in the LET group vs. the isolated ACL reconstruction group. Nevertheless, several studies\(^20,36-58\) compared the results of LET vs. anterolateral ligament (ALL) reconstructions and different ALL reconstruction techniques, while there is a paucity of studies that aim to investigate differences between LET techniques. To date, insufficient data allows for the superiority of fixing the LET construct to the femur, tibia, or soft-tissue alone, with no clinical study designs of direct comparison to our knowledge.

A previous review by Hurley et al\(^59\) evaluated the effects of different lateral augmentation techniques relative to isolated ACL reconstructions. The authors observed that adding Lemaire or Cock-
er-Arnold LET techniques – of proximal and soft-tissue fixation, respectively – decreased graft re-rup-
tures and residual pivot-shift when compared to ACL reconstruction alone\textsuperscript{59}. Meanwhile, no benefit 
was found when the MacIntosh\textsuperscript{60} (proximal bony fixation), Losee\textsuperscript{60} (distal bony fixation), or Marcacc-
ci\textsuperscript{48} (soft-tissue fixation) techniques were used, which constituted one example each of a proximal, 
distal, or soft-tissue fixation\textsuperscript{59}. Our results are in agreement with the findings by Hurley et al\textsuperscript{59}, as 
we observed that the proximal bony fixation – mostly consisting of the modified-Lemaire technique 
and the soft-tissue fixation group – mostly constituted of Coker-Arnold technique – exhibited su-
perior post-operative anterior and rotational stability findings relative to distal bony fixation. While 
dehanced residual Lachman was observed following proximal bony fixation relative to soft-tissue 
fixation, no difference was appreciated based on objective SSD, which is less prone to subjectivity 
and limited inter-observer reliability relative to physical examination tests. However, further investig-
gations are warranted to determine if these observed differences are clinically relevant or associat-
ed with long-term improved outcomes. With a LET, there remains a potential for over-constraining 
the knee, which has been to increase the risk for the development of premature osteoarthritis\textsuperscript{16,17,28}. 
Meanwhile, Chiba et al\textsuperscript{24} observed no effect of a LET on in vivo sagittal plane knee kinematics during a 
downhill running activity at 12 months post-operative – despite significantly reduced anterior trans-
lational during foot strike at 6 months.

RTS ranged from 55 to 100\% in patients undergoing ACL reconstruction with a LET, with qualitative-
ly similar RTS rates across techniques. In a similar fashion, no significant differences in RTS rates were 
reported in the network meta-analysis by Hurley et al\textsuperscript{59}. Further studies examining the impact of LET 
techniques on RTS rate and timing, based on athlete sex and activity level, are necessary in order to help 
better counsel patients and manage expectations following ACL reconstruction with LET.

Limitations

The present study had some limitations. First, the majority of the included studies were retrospective 
in nature and primarily of Level IV evidence, precluding formal meta-analysis of continuous variables 
such as patient-reported outcomes. Second, the wide range of reported RTS rates may be explained by 
a correspondingly wide range of mean follow-up time in our included studies. Additionally, notable het-
erogeneity was observed in terms of associated meniscal procedures; the posterior horn of the medial 
meniscus is a known secondary stabilizer of both anterior translation and rotation and could affect the 
assessed outcomes\textsuperscript{61}. Differences in meniscal procedures could potentially influence between-group 
differences; however, it also may add external validity to the study, as it portrays the common need for 
addressing the meniscus in various manners during ACL reconstruction in clinical practice. Finally, as is 
the case in any systematic review, our search strategy and eligibility criteria might have unintentionally 
omitted data from relevant cohorts - albeit that risk was minimized by a thorough review of the refer-
ence list from each included study.

CONCLUSIONS

Proximal bony and soft tissue fixation techniques result in lower reported rates of residual anterior and 
rotational instability relative to distal bony fixation techniques. This information is valuable to surgeons 
planning LET fixation in the primary ACL reconstruction setting.

- What is known about the subject: Clinically, the addition of a LET has been reported to decrease 
graft failure rates, while improving return to sport rates. Various LET techniques and fixation methods 
have been reported, to re-establish normal knee kinematics. While bony fixation on the femur utiliz-
ing the modified-Lemaire technique remains the most frequently studied technique, other techniques, 
such as bony fixation on the tibia using the modified-Ellison, vs. all soft-tissue fixation alone, such as the 
Coker-Arnold technique, have been analyzed.

- What this study adds to existing knowledge: Soft tissue fixation was performed in 40\% of patients, 
proximal bony fixation in 37.1\%, and distal bony fixation in 22.8\%. A positive post-operative Lachman 
test was less common in patients undergoing proximal bony fixation, while residual pivot-shift instability 
and SSD in anterior translation were more commonly reported following distal bony fixation. This informa-
tion is valuable to surgeons planning LET fixation in the primary ACL reconstruction setting.
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